

A Quarterly Bulletin of Metal Forming Research Laboratory

Bulletin MFRL

School of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia

become

predator³ one day. Even

like lack of

peer review⁴.

However, it is

still unhealthy

Authors are

the fees. They

dilemma. Not

just need to

burden

are

make

practices.

with

their

in

а

day. Even though, still many claimed that both are different from many aspects

October 2021 Volume 5 Quarter 4

Editorial Board

Editor in Chief

-Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Ahmad Baharuddin Abdullah

Secretary

-Zarirah Karrim Wani

Inside the Issue	
Preface1	
Highlight1	
New Member1	
Article2	

Recent Publications Published 1. MF Jamaluddin et al.,

Laser in Engineering J., 51(6), pp. 319-331, 2021.

Accepted Book Chapter 1. MZ Rizlan et al., Advanced Materials and Engineering

Technologies. Springer. 2. MA Roslee et al., Advanced Maritime Technologies and Application, Springer.

Active Grants

PRGS Grant Title: Prototyping of hybrid machine; 2019-2022



where the publisher does not charge subscription fees to the reader but receives money directly from the author as article processing charge (APC). While the predatory journal is a journal publish by a publisher that are ready to publish any article for payment without any intention of proper review process¹. The question is, ARE THEY SAME? Paid journal may contribute to a greedy publisher, which lead to

Paid journal is defined as a paid open-access publication model,

6 WAYS TO SPOT PREDATORY JOURNAL 1. Always check the website thereughty 2. Check if the journal is a

Paid Journal = Predatory Journal?

or STM 3. Check the journal's contact information

4. Research the editorial board 5. Take a look at their peer review

process and publication timelines 6. Read through past issues of the journal

ource; Victoria Glasson, https://rxcomms.com/blog/6-ways-soot-predatory-journal/.

research outcomes meet the expectation of the reviewers i.e., novelty and well presented but need to have enough money for the fees as well. A very good article is not guaranteed to get published until the fees is paid.

From the report, average APC made by UK scholars in 2016 is approximately RM11,000.00 for a single $paper^5$. An institution may spend millions a year for the payment.

Amount of APC depends on reputation of the journal, indexing body and impact factor. Some universities cover certain amount of the fees.

Welcome Back Banner

New Member

We are welcoming Muhammad Faris Akmal bin Md Azlin to the Metal Forming Research Lab. Effective on 1 November 2021, he official became new member to the lab. His project is on tribological performance of additive manufactured aluminum alloy. His project is part of project approved under SATU Joint Research Scheme program in the year 2021. He just graduated from Universiti Teknikal Melaka Malaysia (UTEM).

eISSN 2550-2069



Continue (From left column)

Peer review is usually done by an author/researcher and unfortunately, they never get paid for their review works even from an open access journal.

However, it agreed that the open access publishing has been manipulated by a businessman (individual or company) under the title of publisher in exploiting the academic community. Integrity of the scholars and reliability of the findings may questions as the trend proceeds.

References

1. Beall, Jeffrey (2012). "Predatory publishers are corrupting open access". Nature. 489 (7415): 179.

2. Victoria Glasson, https://rxcomms.com/blog/6-ways-spot-predatory-journal/. Access on 11/11/21 $\,$

3. Cathy Vakil, (2019). "Predatory journals; Authors and readers beware". Can Fam Physician. 65(2): 92.

https://predatoryjournals.com/publishers/ Access on 11/11/21.



Contact Details

Metal Forming Research Laboratory, School of Mechanical Engineering, Engineering Campus Universiti Sains Malaysia Seri Ampangan 14300 Nibong Tebal, Pulau Pinang, MALAYSIA, Phone: 604-5996361, Fax: 604-5996912, e-mail: mebaha@usm.my, http://metalforming.usm.my

Article

There are various types of tribo-tests that are available to be used in the tribological performance evaluation of a material. The test is based on the contact geometry of the tested material with
the counter-face.

1 Pallider	Pin-on-disk test (Olofsson <i>et al.</i> , 2018; Sabeel <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
To Speciast	 Abrasion test follows ASTM G99-05 Dimension of the specimen is 10 mm × 10 mm × 20 mm
	 The test specimen is set perpendicular under loading against rotating counter-face Contact area is not varying with
	respect to sliding time
	Operating parameters: sliding velocity, sliding distance, normal load, wet or dry sliding, abrasive or adhesive contact condition
	Application: sliding wear of various materials where constant contact area of interest
2 Nerral Low	Block on disc test (Yousif <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
Dive 15:00	Block on disc test follows ASTMG99
<u> </u>	 Dimension of the specimen is 10 mm × 10 mm × 20 mm The test specimen is placed vertically to the counter-face which is rotating
Block Spetimes	 An infrared thermometer can be used for the measuring interfaces temperatures during the interaction of sample and
	counter-face
	Contact area is not varying with respect to sliding time
	 Test can be adhesive and abrasive Operating parameters: sliding velocity, sliding distance, normal load, wet or dry sliding, abrasive or adhesive contact
Extrinc Das	condition
	Applications: sliding wear of various materials where constant contact area of interest
3 Normail Load	Linear reciprocating test (Yousif <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
	Linear reciprocating abrasion test follows ASTM G133-05e1
<u> </u>	Abrasive wear behaviour of materials under three-body conditions
Abrasive particles filled stainly	 It has space for a variety of sample geometries to form point, line and area contacts Stainless steel container filled with abrasive moves linearly with the help of the power screw which is directly coupled
	to the motor and the specimen slides in the abrasive particles filled container
	• Test is abrasive in the presence of abrasive particles in the stainless-steel container, otherwise the test is purely
	adhesive
Reciprocating Mation	 Operating parameters: sliding velocity, wet or dry sliding, abrasive types, applied load Application: linear sliding of window panels, door handles, lock mechanisms
	Discher vir staat (Nimpel at al. 2014)
4 Load	Block on ring test (Nirmal <i>et al.,</i> 2011)
	Block on ring test follows ASTM G77, G137-95 standards
	 Dimension of the specimen is 10 mm × 20 mm × 50 mm Test sample place parallel to the side of the counterface and contact surface varies with the sliding time
	 Operating parameter: sliding velocity, sliding distance, applied load, temperature, wet or dry sliding
	Applications: crankshafts, camshafts, piston pins, connecting rods, suspensions, lubricants
	⁻ Reg
5	Pin on drum test (Nirmal <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
Specarou	Pin on drum test follows ASTM A514
Montox of the specimen	 Specimen travels linearly which is placed horizontally against a rotating drum The drum is covered with abrasive paper. Without abrasive paper, test is simply adhesive
Staft	 Operating parameters: sliding distance, sliding velocity, applied load, wet or dry sliding, abrasive or adhesive contact
Drum	condition
	Applications: conveyor belts, rotating rollers etc.
6	Low amplitude oscillating test (sharma et di., 2011)
	• Known as fretting wear 10 mm × 10 mm × 3–4 mm
	 A polished chromium steel ball of having surface roughness values in the range 0.01–0.015 μm oscillates against the specimen. The diameter of the ball is 10 mm
Cr Ball	 Operating parameter: load, sliding velocity, number of cycles, slip amplitude, slip, frequency, contact geometry,
Composite //	material properties, environment
Slip Direction	Application: bearings, gears, bushes, flanges, multilayer leaf springs, palliatives
7	Erosion test (Jena et al., 2018)
	Main Erosion test follows ASTM: G76–07 Discretion of the environment 20 merum 20 merum 20 merum
	 Dimension of the specimen 20 mm × 20 mm The dry and compressed air with the solid sand particles hit on the test sample at various speeds and angle at constant
	feed rate through converging nozzle
	Stand of distance is 10 mm
	Operating parameters: impingement angle, impact velocity, erodent type Application: rates blade, conveyer belt
	Application: rotor blade, conveyer belt

References

- Olofsson et al., Wear, 410-411, 165-172. 2018 1.
- Sabeel et al., Materials & Design (1980-2015), 36, 306-315. 2012 2.
- 3. Yousif et al., Materials & Design, 48, 2–13. 2010
- Nirmal et al., Polymeric Composited, 7. 2011 4.
- Sharma et al., Tribology Letters, 43(3), 267–273. 2011 5.
- 6. Jena et al., Advances in Polymer Technology, 37(3), 761–769. 2018

** This article is part of literature review prepared by Muhammad Faris Akmal Md Azlin (Master candidate, School of Mechanical Engineering, USM, Date: 15 of November 2021) for his proposal preparation.